Long term follow-up of patients with indeterminate breast (B3) lesions A 10-year prospective review

Dr Nerys Forester Consultant Breast Radiologist



Introduction

What are indeterminate or B3 breast lesions?

Family of breast lesions including a variety of pathologies

- papilloma
- radial scars
- atypical ductal hyperplasia (ADH/AIDEP)
- flat epithelial atypia (FEA)
- lobular neoplasia (LN)
- fibroepithelial lesions (FEL)
- miscellaneous lesions such as mucoceles, spindle cell lesions etc.

Mostly screen detected

 around 8% of all biopsies in the UK breast screening program

Breast core biopsy grading ¹

B1	Normal breast tissue
B2	Benign lesion
B3	Lesions of uncertain malignant potential
B4	Suspicious of malignancy
B5	Malignant lesion
B5a	Malignant, in situ
B5b	Malignant, invasive
B5c	Malignant, invasive status not assessable



Association with malignancy

B3 breast lesions are not malignant per se, but are associated with malignancy

The association with malignancy may be either:

- At the time of diagnosis
- As a risk factor for future malignancy

A: Malignant areas (purple) within a mass (e.g. papilloma with atypia) where the core samples the lesion, but does not include the malignant areas



At diagnostic core biopsy, malignancy might not be identified due to:

- Lesion Heterogeneity (A)
- Pathological Underestimation (B)

Risk factor for future malignancy:

- Varies between studies and lesions
- As much as 5-fold in some published studies^{2,3}

B: With a small gauge biopsy the number of abnormal areas (purple dots) can be too few to reach a diagnostic threshold (eg for DCIS). In a larger biopsy, a greater number of abnormal areas are sampled in a single core reducing the risk of under-sampling error







Background



Moving from surgical excision to VAE

To manage the risk of under sampling error, historically, all B3 lesions underwent diagnostic surgical excision.

Historical practice

The risk of malignancy varies between lesions, but the majority (>70%) of lesions remain benign following surgery.



Needle gauge	Outer diameter (inches)	Outer diameter (mm)	
7	0.180	4.572	-
10	0.134	3.404	76
14	0.083	2.108	1 2



Various publications advocate replacing surgery with second line **vacuum assisted excision biopsy (VAE)** with 7/8 G needles to

- Improve preoperative cancer diagnosis
- Reduce unnecessary benign surgery

Guidelines^{5,6,7} suggest that this is followed with

- 5 yearly mammography follow-up of atypical lesions
- Routine screening for non atypia lesions

Limited evidence for lesions managed this way is published

This is a long-term prospective study of women after B3 diagnosis

- Is VAE is a safe alternative to surgery?
- Are surveillance strategies appropriate?

Biopsy needles

Methods

Prospective single centre follow up study

All B3 lesions with no previous or concurrent malignancy identified January 2012 to December 2016

All lesions were managed with VAE pathways as appropriate following multidisciplinary team review

Any lesions upgraded to carcinoma by VAE were managed as appropriate

The remaining women were followed up for any subsequent breast cancer diagnosis

The rate of cancer development in the B3 group was compared to a group of women with benign screen-detected lesions identified in 2012 which were assessed and then returned to routine recall in the NHS Breast Screening Program

Follow up for both groups was until December 2021

Simplified management flow chart



Results

514 B3 lesions identified over 5 years

Lesion	Number	2 nd line VAE	B5b	B5a	B4	B3	B2	B1	B5 @ diag ex	No Upgraded (%)	Number on surveillance	No with subsequent B5 diagnosis (%)
AIDEP	110 (21.4%)	87	2	14	1	35	33	2	7	23	87	10 + lymphoma (12.6%)
Atypical FA/FELs	14 (2.7%)	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	0 (0%)
FEA	43 (8.4%)	38	1	0	0	17	18	2	3	4	39	2 (5.1%)
LISN	94 (18.3%)	62	0	10	1	35	14	2	2	12	82	7 (8.5%)
Pap without atypia	104 (20.2%)	86	0	3	1	60	18	4	0	3	101	8 (7.9%)
Pap with atypia	8 (1.6%)	3	0	0	1	2	0	0	3	3	5	1 (20%)
RS without atypia	93 (18.1%)	74	1	0	1	51	19	2	1	2	91	4 (4.4%)
RS with atypia	21 (4.1%)	20	2	0	2	10	6	0	1	3	18	1 (5.6%)
Miscellaneous	27 (5.3%)	20	0	2	1	7	9	1	1	3	24	0 (0%)
Totals	514	391	6	30	8	217	117	13	18	54 (10.5%)	460	33 (7.2%)

514 B3 lesions

- 391 were suitable for VAE
- 36 (9.2%) upgraded to B5 by VAE
- 18 upgraded following diagnostic excision
- Overall upgrade to carcinoma 10.5%

Remaining 460 patients were offered surveillance mammography

- mean of 3.4 mammograms per patient (range 0-8)
- 44 no further mammograms (age/personal choice)
- 14 deaths (1 breast cancer)



Results

No significant difference between rate of subsequent cancer between B3 lesions or controls at 5 years was observed

In the B3 lesion group

- 33 women (7.2%) developed breast cancer
 - 24 invasive
 - 9 in situ
- 1 lymphoma



- Median time-to-diagnosis 4 years (range 1-11 years)
- 23/33 identified by mammography
- 10/33 cancers at the site of the initial B3 lesion
- No difference in rate of cancer was identified
 - between subtypes of B3 lesions
 - between lesions managed by VAE vs no VAE
 - between lesions with or without atypia



In the benign control group

- 147 women had benign lesions identified at screening during 2012 (B2/C2 pathology)
- 8 (5.5%) subsequently developed cancer





Conclusions

This study demonstrates that B3 management with VAE is safe and effective

- 7.2% of women with prior B3 lesions subsequently develop breast cancer.
- However, the risk of breast cancer following diagnosis of a B3 lesions is not increased in comparison to a group of women with previously identified screen detected benign lesions.
- No subgroup of B3 lesions was shown to have an elevated risk of subsequent carcinoma. Rate of subsequent carcinoma did not differ between lesions with or without atypia or those managed with or without VAE.
- Cancers post B3 diagnosis occurred at a wide range of time points following a B3 diagnosis and were mammographically detected in two thirds of cases.
- Cancers were often unrelated to the initial B3 lesion, seen at the original B3 site in only one third of cases.
- However, enhanced surveillance strategies post VAE do not offer additional cancer detection than usual screening.
- A safe follow-up strategy of indeterminate breast lesions could comprise a mammographic review at one year followed by return to routine breast screening.



Management Pathway used in Newcastle MDT

Several management guidelines exist. However, this flowchart combines all the individual lesion pathways published, meaning you only need one flowchart at the MDT.



References

- 1. Maxwell, A. J., et al. "The royal College of radiologists breast group breast imaging classification." *Clinical Radiology* 64.6 (2009): 624-627.
- 2. Jacobs, Timothy W., et al. "Radial scars in benign breast-biopsy specimens and the risk of breast cancer." New England Journal of Medicine 340.6 (1999): 430-436.
- 3. Page, David L., et al. "Lobular neoplasia of the breast: higher risk for subsequent invasive cancer predicted by more extensive disease." Human Pathology 22.12 (1991): 1232-1239.
- 4. Forester, Nerys Dawn, et al. "High risk (B3) breast lesions: what is the incidence of malignancy for individual lesion subtypes? A systematic review and meta-analysis." *European Journal of Surgical Oncology* 45.4 (2019): 519-527.
- 5. Rajan, S., et al. "New patient pathway using vacuum-assisted biopsy reduces diagnostic surgery for B3 lesions." Clinical Radiology 67.3 (2012): 244-249.
- 6. Pinder, S. E., et al. "NHS Breast Screening multidisciplinary working group guidelines for the diagnosis and management of breast lesions of uncertain malignant potential on core biopsy (B3 lesions)." *Clinical Radiology* 73.8 (2018): 682-692.
- 7. Catanzariti, Francesca, et al. "High-risk lesions of the breast: concurrent diagnostic tools and management recommendations." Insights into Imaging 12.1 (2021): 1-19.